1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. C13-5948 RJB TIMOTHY DIETZ, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP. OF ORDER DENYING LEAVE TO 14 WASHINGTON; WELLS FARGO HOME PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS MORTGAGE; WELLS FARGO ON APPEAL 15 BANK,N.A.; MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; 16 MERSCORP, INC.; McCARTHY & HOLTHUS LLP; DOE DEFENDANTS 1-17 20, 18 Defendants. 19 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma 20 pauperis on appeal. Dkt. 36. The Court has considered the application and the record herein. 21 Initially, it should be noted that this case remains open as there are remaining defendants 22 that were not dismissed in the prior order. See Dkts. 30 and 34. Having failed to comply with 23 filing deadlines in regards to the remaining defendants, Plaintiff has been ordered to show cause 24

in writing, if any he has, not later than June 19, 2014, why this case should not be dismissed without prejudice. See Dkt. 34. Regardless of the status of the litigation, the Court should deny Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, without prejudice for Plaintiff to file with the Ninth Circuit a request to appeal in forma pauperis. Plaintiff paid the filing fee to proceed in this Court and it appears from his declaration that he has sufficient funds to pay the filing fee in the Ninth Circuit. Plaintiff has not shown an inability to pay the appeal fee. Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED**: Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal (Dkt. 36) is **DENIED**, without prejudice for Plaintiff to file with the Ninth Circuit an application for in forma pauperis status. Dated this 3rd of June, 2014. ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24